



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,046	12/29/2000	John Elmore Schier	062891.0489	9011
7590 09/02/2005			EXAMINER	
Barton E. Showalter Baker Botts L.L.P.			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
2001 Ross Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75201-2980			2131	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/752,046	SCHIER, JOHN ELMORE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher A. Revak	2131				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>une 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 10-19 and 25-37 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-33</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15-19,25-30,34-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] 	(/PTO 412)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 90105				

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-19,25-30, and 34-37 have been considered persuasive and the previous rejection is hereby withdrawn. The teachings of Cheswick et al have been identified by the examiner as being relevant to the applicant's claim language.
- 2. As per claims 31-33, the applicant's submission of support for the claim language under 35 USC 112 6th paragraph is noted and the rejection of those claims is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 10-12,15-19,25-29, and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cheswick et al, US H1944 H.

As per claims 10,25, and 34, it is disclosed by Cheswick et al of a method and medium including encoded logic for providing an identifier for processing an

Art Unit: 2131

electronic communication (emails)(col. 3, lines 53-64). A request is received via a dongle (input device) to process the electronic communication (col. 3, lines 17-25 & 53-64). A unique identifier (identification key) associated with the dongle (input device) is determined wherein the unique identifier (identification key) uniquely identifies the dongle (input device)(col. 3, lines 10-16). The electronic communication is processed using the requesting process upon validating the unique identifier (identification key)(col. 3, lines 10-16). The teachings of Cheswick et al disclose of processing all communications traffic received from the public network so that the client is protected (col. 2, lines 50-57) and it is interpreted by the examiner that the communications comprise requested processes selected from a forward request, a send request, a save request, a delete request, a reply request, and a check request since they are all types of communications.

As per claims 11,26, and 35, it is taught by Cheswick et al of accessing a portion of memory to determine the unique identifier (identification key). The received unique identifier (identification key) is compared to the stored unique identifier (identification key) to determine if the input is valid to process the communication (email)(col. 1, lines 10-16).

As per claims 12,27, and 36, Cheswick et al discloses of receiving a communication (comprising the request) via a port operably associated with the input device, receiving the unique identifier (identification key) from the dongle (input device), and verifying the communication (email comprising the request)

Art Unit: 2131

and the unique identifier (identification key)(col. 2,lines 42-46 and col. 3, lines 10-16).

As per claim 15, Cheswick et al teaches of storing the unique identifier (identification key) within a memory associated with the dongle (input device)(col. 3, lines 10-13).

As per claims 16,28, and 37, it is disclosed by Cheswick et al of determining if an electronic communication (email) process associated with the dongle (input device) is valid and associating the communication (email comprising the request) with a button associated with the dongle (input device)(col. 2, lines 50-57; col. 3, lines 10-16; and as shown in Figure 3).

As per claim 17, Cheswick et al teaches of receiving an input from a user to select the function button of the dongle (input device)(col. 3, lines 10-16 and as shown in Figure 3).

As per claim 18, Cheswick et al discloses of displaying a function button within a browser (user interface) associated with the dongle (input device)(col. 2, lines 42-46 and as shown in Figures 1 & 3).

As per claims 19 and 29, it is taught by Cheswick et al of associating an encrypted unique identifier (identification key) within the electronic communication upon processing the electronic communication (email)(col. 3, lines 10-16 and col. 6, lines 30-35).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheswick et al, US H1944 H in view of Golan, U.S. Patent 5,974,549.

The teachings of Cheswick et al disclose of monitoring incoming communications from a public network by means of a dongle (input device) that regulars whether or not the communication is allowed to be processed. The teachings are silent in disclosing of quarantining electronic communication (email) upon determining that the input device is not valid and notifying a user. It is disclosed by Golan of executing in a secure mode in that every software component (email) is executed in a secure sandbox (quarantine)(col. 2, lines 19-25). When it is detected that a downloaded component (email) attempts to commit an action that breaches security (determined that the input device is not valid), the component's (email) execution is halted and a warning is issued to the user (col. 4, lines 58-61). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to protect against malicious code from infecting a computer system. Golan recites motivation for the use of a secure sandbox (quarantine) by reciting that software components

Art Unit: 2131

(email) can be executed in a secure sandbox (quarantine) and when the software (email) attempts an action that is a breach of a security policy, execution is halted (col. 2, lines 19-28) as a means of preventing such actions as information theft and leakage of sensitive data (col. 1, lines 29-34). The teachings of Cheswick et al would have benefited from the disclosure of Golan as a means of prevention of an attack on sensitive data associated with a user.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 31-33 are allowed over the prior art of record based on the applicant's remarks filed on June 9, 2005 listing support on pages 8-9 of the response for the claimed limitations under 35 USC 112 6th paragraph.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/752,046

Art Unit: 2131

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Revak Primary Examiner

11,105

AU 213*1*

September 1, 2005